

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/017,024 | 12/07/2001 | Weston F. Harding | P-5540 | 7791 |
| 75 | 90 07/15/2003 | | | |
| Becton, Dickinson and Company | | | EXAMINER . | |
| 1 Becton Drive Franklin Lakes, | NJ 07417-1880 | | KONTOS, LINA R | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3763 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003 | X |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | _ (). | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/017,024 | HARDING ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Lina Kontos | 3763 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Matute, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ | · | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ | This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-7,45 and 46</u> is/are pending in th | • • | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without | drawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| • | Claim(s) 1-7,45 and 46 is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | d/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac | | y the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | o the drawing(s) be held in abo | eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) approved b) | disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in | reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore | eign priority under 35 U.S.C | c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | • | • | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docum | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International* See the attached detailed Office action for a | Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a) |). | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome | estic priority under 35 U.S. | C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom | • | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notes | // 5) Notice | w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3763

El ction/Restrictions

1.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Page 2

Figures 14-16, corresponding to claims 1-7;

Figures 9-11, corresponding to claims 8-14;

Figures 12,13, corresponding to claims 15-19;

Figures 17-24, corresponding to claims 20-28;

Figures 25-28, corresponding to claims 29-36;

Figures 31,32, corresponding to claims 37,38;

Figure 37, corresponding to claims 39-41;

Figure 38, corresponding to claims 42-44.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 44,45 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after Art Unit: 3763

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with James Murtha on July 7, 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Figures 14-16, claims 1-7,45,46 Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 8-44 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR-1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3763

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2.

Claims 1-7,45,46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lynn et al.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Lynn et al. teaches a needleless luer access connector comprising a housing (12) having top and bottom portions, barbs (50) formed along the sidewall of the top portion of the housing, a septum (34) disposed within the housing having a longitudinal slit (42) for receiving a luer cannula wherein the septum has ribs (47) that engages the barbs of the proximal housing (see Figure 1). When luer tip is inserted into the slit of the septum, the distal region of the septum is

Art Unit: 3763

moved both laterally and distally into contact with the upper region of the bottom portion of the housing (column 12, lines 27-56, Figures 1A-1C).

Conclusion

3.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent 4,809,679 teaches a sealing means for endoscopes having ribs on the septum as well as a longitudinal slit, wherein said ribs engage barbs extending inward from the main housing.

US Patent 5,957,898 discloses a needless connector with a resealable preslit septum.

US Patent 5,251,873 discloses a medical coupling comprising a septum for receiving a male luer lock fitting wherein the septum has a longitudinal slit.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lina Kontos whose telephone number is (703) 306-4207. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

LRK July 8, 2003

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700